

GHDI Work Plan 2014-2016: CAN-US Co-Chairs

Key Goals	
1.	<p>Humanitarian Aid Effectiveness Enhanced: Establishing best practices in Donorship at a system level</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Informed by inputs received from implementing partners, GHDI will seek to identify and agree upon a set of good practice regarding: funding, reporting standards, needs assessment and institutional assessments. • GHDI will initiate a dialogue with other major donors to humanitarian action about how they ensure principled Donorship, including CERF, UN Agencies, and State donors outside the GHDI.
2.	<p>People in Need Better Served: Incentivizing an enabling environment for effective field action</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GHDI will seek to improve donor coordination at the onset of a crisis. • GHDI will strike the appropriate balance between support for the global coordination platforms, including safety and security, and delivery at field level.
3.	<p>Humanitarian Solidarity Strengthened: Interface between GHDI, humanitarian principles, and the WHS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide a link between humanitarian donorship and the WHS's priority themes with a view to establishing common messages as required. • Engender new donor partnerships and improve dissemination of key GHD messages to broader humanitarian community.
4.	<p>Adapting GHDI to evolving humanitarian landscape</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GHDI membership and tools
Activity	
1.0	<p>Humanitarian Aid Effectiveness Enhanced: Establishing best practices in Donorship at a system level</p>
1.1	<p>Reporting, Information and Accountability Requirements: Identify common core areas of reporting and clarify donor priorities and requirements to identify possibilities for convergence around best practices. Issues to be considered include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contractual agreements: Identifying good practice and model clauses <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Incorporation of accountability to affected populations and other priority cross-cutting issues (gender equality, environmental sustainability, use of innovative programming tools (cash, vouchers)). ○ Risk-sharing and flexibility. ○ Informal reporting (expected but not required) • Cascading requirements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Understanding how GHD member requirements of multilateral agencies flow through to impose additional control mechanisms on NGO partners ○ Initiate a dialogue with UN agencies and the CERF on their principles for partnership to understand and encourage principled donorship to implementing partners ○ Incorporate discussion on requirements for pooled funding mechanisms <p>Co-lead: Canada, ECHO Members: Australia, Germany, Japan, Mexico, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland, UK, US</p>

GHDI Work Plan 2014-2016: CAN-US Co-Chairs

...	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Reporting: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Use and quality of annual reports from UN and Red Cross for core funding ○ Other required donor reports, both on program results and financial accountability for core, project and program funding ● Evidence-based decision-making: Information, Needs Assessments and Analysis. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Explore further the actual and potential uses of Risk Information for Agencies, Donors and others. ○ Informal exchange of relevant institutional assessment/monitoring information ○ Clarify understanding of current use of needs assessment information by donors, including the Humanitarian Needs Overview as part of the Humanitarian Programme Cycle ○ Information-share on donor-support to needs assessment capacities, methodologies and tools. 	
1.2	<p>Funding Modalities: Funding to UN and other partners (NGOs, RC/RC, local governments) - modalities, conditionalities, timeliness and operational impact.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Build a shared analysis of the current range of humanitarian financing instruments and test whether they are fit for purpose; ● Identify good practice with regard to multi-year finance; ● Provide a platform for discussion of humanitarian financing issues with other relevant initiatives, including the UN panel, WHS and the IASC working groups on improving the linkages between relief and development finance ● Update of <i>Review of Humanitarian Financing Mechanisms</i> (April 2008) with annex on donor modalities ● Annual review with OCHA on status of funding for crises. 	<p>Co-lead: Germany, Sweden, UK Members: Australia, Belgium, Canada, Denmark, ECHO, Ireland, Luxemburg, Mexico, Norway, Spain, Switzerland, US</p>
2.0 People in Need Better Served: Incentivizing an enabling environment for effective field action		
2.1	<p>Managing Risk & Crisis Coordination</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Explore potential for simple information exchange at onset of crisis via Twitter or other internal tool ● Needs assessment usage for decision-making and alignment with SRPs 	<p>Co-lead: US Members: Canada, Denmark, ECHO, Finland, France, Germany, Mexico, the Netherlands, Sweden, Switzerland, UK</p>